

GROUP DIVISIBLE DESIGNS WITH TWO ASSOCIATE CLASSES AND $\lambda_2 = 5$

Arjuna Chaiyasena¹ and Wannee Lapchinda²

¹*School of Mathematics,
Suranaree University of Technology,
111 University Avenue, Muang District,
Nakhon Ratchasima 30000, Thailand
e-mail: achaiyasena@hotmail.com*

²*School of Science and Technology,
University of the Thai Chamber of Commerce
Dindaeng, Bangkok 10400, Thailand
e-mail: wannee_lap@utcc.ac.th*

Abstract

Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of Group divisible designs with two associate classes and $\lambda_2 = 5$ are here considered. We find that the necessary conditions, derived from graph theoretic conditions, are sufficient as well. We present some constructions to prove sufficiency.

1 Introduction

A *pairwise balanced design* is an ordered pair (S, \mathcal{B}) , denoted $\text{PBD}(S, \mathcal{B})$, where S is a finite set of symbols and \mathcal{B} is a collection of subsets of S called *blocks*, such that each pair of distinct elements of S occurs together in exactly one block of \mathcal{B} . Here $|S| = v$ is called the *order* of the PBD. Note that there is no condition on the size of the blocks in \mathcal{B} . If all blocks are of the same size k , then we have a *Steiner system* $S(v, k)$. A PBD with index λ can be defined similarly: each pair of distinct elements occurs in λ blocks. If all blocks are same size, say k , then we get a balanced incomplete block design $\text{BIBD}(v, b, r, k, \lambda)$. In other words, a $\text{BIBD}(v, b, r, k, \lambda)$ is a set S of v elements together with a collection

*Corresponding author

Key words: BIBD, GDD, graph decomposition.

2010 AMS Mathematics Classification: 05B05, 05B07.

of b k -subsets of S , called blocks, where each point occurs in r blocks and each pair of distinct elements occurs in exactly λ blocks (see [2], [3], [4]).

Note that in a BIBD(v, b, r, k, λ) the parameters must satisfy the necessary conditions:

1. $vr = bk$ and
2. $\lambda(v - 1) = r(k - 1)$.

With these conditions a BIBD(v, b, r, k, λ) is usually written as BIBD(v, k, λ).

A *group divisible design* GDD($v = v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_g, g, k, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$) is a collection of k -subsets (called blocks) of a v -set of symbols, where the v -set is divided into g groups of size v_1, v_2, \dots, v_g ; each pair of symbols from the same group occurs in exactly λ_1 blocks; and each pair of symbols from different groups occurs in exactly λ_2 blocks (see [2], [3]). In this paper we consider the problem of determining necessary conditions for an existence of GDD($v = m + n, 2, 3, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$) and prove that the conditions are sufficient for some infinite families. Since we are dealing on GDDs with two groups and block size 3, we will use GDD($m, n, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$) for GDD($v = m + n, 2, 3, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$) from now on, and we refer to the blocks as triples. We denote $(X; Y, \mathcal{B})$ for a GDD($m, n, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$) if X and Y are m -set and n -set, respectively. Chaiyasena, et al [1] have written the first paper in this direction, followed by Pabhapote and Punnim [5]. In particular the first paper [1] completely solved the problem of determining all pairs of integers (n, λ) in which a GDD($1, n, 1, \lambda$) exists, while the second paper [5] found all triples of integers (m, n, λ) in which a GDD($m, n, \lambda, 1$) exists. We continue to investigate in this paper all triples of integers (m, n, λ) in which a GDD($m, n, \lambda, 5$) exists, where $\lambda \geq 5$. Surprisingly, this problem can be solved using just λ -fold triple system constructions, GDD($1, v, 1, \lambda$) in [1], and GDD($m, n, \lambda, 1$) in [5] as building blocks. There seems to be no need to consider GDD($m, n, \lambda, 2$), GDD($m, n, \lambda, 3$) nor GDD($m, n, \lambda, 4$).

Necessary conditions on the existence of a GDD($m, n, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$) can be obtained from a graph theoretic point of view as follows. Let λK_v denote the graph on v vertices in which each pair of vertices is joined by λ edges. Let G_1 and G_2 be graphs. The graph $G_1 \vee_\lambda G_2$ is formed from the union of G_1 and G_2 by joining each vertex in G_1 to each vertex in G_2 with λ edges. A G -decomposition of a graph H is a partition of the edges of H such that each element of the partition induces a copy of G . Thus the existence of a GDD($m, n, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$) is easily seen to be equivalent to the existence of a K_3 -decomposition of $\lambda_1 K_m \vee_{\lambda_2} \lambda_1 K_n$. The graph $\lambda_1 K_m \vee_{\lambda_2} \lambda_1 K_n$ is of order $m + n$ and size $\lambda_1 \left[\binom{m}{2} + \binom{n}{2} \right] + \lambda_2 mn$. It contains m vertices of degree $\lambda_1(m - 1) + \lambda_2 n$ and n vertices of degree $\lambda_1(n - 1) + \lambda_2 m$. Thus the existence of a K_3 -decomposition of $\lambda_1 K_m \vee_{\lambda_2} \lambda_1 K_n$ implies

1. $3 \mid \lambda_1 \left[\binom{m}{2} + \binom{n}{2} \right] + \lambda_2 mn$, and

2. $2 \mid \lambda_1(m-1) + \lambda_2 n$ and $2 \mid \lambda_1(n-1) + \lambda_2 m$.

2 Preliminaries

The following notation will be used for our constructions.

1. Let V be a v -set. We use $K(V)$ for the complete graph K_v on the vertex set V .
2. Let V be a v -set. Then there may be many different STS(v)s that can be constructed on the set V . Let STS(V) be defined as

$$\text{STS}(V) = \{\mathcal{B} : (V, \mathcal{B}) \text{ is an STS}(v)\}.$$

BIBD($V, 3, \lambda$) can be defined similarly, That is:

$$\text{BIBD}(V, 3, \lambda) = \{\mathcal{B} : (V, \mathcal{B}) \text{ is a BIBD}(v, 3, \lambda)\}.$$

Let X and Y be disjoint sets of cardinality m and n , respectively. We define GDD($X, Y, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$) as

$$\text{GDD}(X, Y, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \{\mathcal{B} : (X; Y, \mathcal{B}) \text{ is a GDD}(m, n, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)\}.$$

3. When we say that \mathcal{B} is a *collection* of subsets (blocks) of a v -set V , \mathcal{B} may contain repeated blocks. Thus “ \cup ” in our construction will be used for the union of multi-sets.
4. Finally, if we have a set X , the number of members or vertices of X shall be denoted by $|X|$.

The following results on existence of λ -fold triple systems are well known (see e.g. [4]).

Theorem 2.1. Let n be a positive integer. Then a BIBD($n, 3, \lambda$) exists if and only if λ and n are in one of the following cases:

- (a) $\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ and for all positive integers $n \neq 2$,
- (b) $\lambda \equiv 1$ or $5 \pmod{6}$ and for all n with $n \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$,
- (c) $\lambda \equiv 2$ or $4 \pmod{6}$ and for all n with $n \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{3}$, and
- (d) $\lambda \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ and for all odd integers n .

3 GDD($m, n, \lambda, 5$)

Let λ be a positive integer. We consider in this section the problem of determining all pairs of integers (m, n) in which a GDD($m, n, \lambda, 5$) exists. Recall that the existence of GDD($m, n, \lambda, 5$) implies $3 \mid \lambda[m(m-1) + n(n-1)] + mn$, $2 \mid \lambda(m-1) + n$ and $2 \mid \lambda(n-1) + m$. Let

$$S_5(\lambda) := \{(m, n) : \text{a GDD}(m, n, \lambda, 5) \text{ exists}\}.$$

Lemma 3.1. Let t be a non-negative integer:

- (a) If $(m, n) \in S_5(6t+1)$, then there exist non-negative integers h and k such that $\{m, n\} \in \{\{6k+1, 6h\}, \{6k, 6h+3\}, \{6k+3, 6h+4\}\}$.
- (b) If $(m, n) \in S_5(6t+2)$, then there exist non-negative integers h and k such that $\{m, n\} \in \{\{6k, 6h\}, \{6k+2, 6h+4\}, \{6k, 6k+4\}, \{6k+2, 6h+2\}\}$.
- (c) If $(m, n) \in S_5(6t+3)$, then there exist non-negative integers h and k such that $\{m, n\} \in \{\{6k, 6h+1\}, \{6k, 6h+3\}, \{6k+2, 6h+3\}, \{6k+4, 6h+3\}, \{6k, 6h+5\}\}$.
- (d) If $(m, n) \in S_5(6t+4)$, then there exist non-negative integers h and k such that $\{m, n\} \in \{\{6k, 6h\}, \{6k, 6h+4\}\}$.
- (e) If $(m, n) \in S_5(6t+5)$, then there exist non-negative integers h and k such that $\{m, n\} \in \{\{6k, 6h+1\}, \{6k+1, 6h+2\}, \{6k+3, 6h+4\}, \{6k, 6h+3\}, \{6k+2, 6h+5\}, \{6k+4, 6h+5\}\}$.
- (f) If $(m, n) \in S_5(6t+6)$, then there exist non-negative integers h and k such that $\{m, n\} \in \{\{6k, 6h\}, \{6k, 6h+2\}, \{6k, 6h+4\}\}$.

Proof. The proof follows from solving the corresponding systems of congruences. \square

We now proceed with sufficiency for m and n not equal to 2. We note that for simplicity, we only prove sufficiency for say, GDD($m, n, \lambda, 5$), since the case of GDD($n, m, \lambda, 5$) can be dealt in an identical manner, simply by switching the sets involved. For the sake of economy of space, we will prove sufficiency for λ being the minimal value for the case involved. Once we have a GDD($m, n, \lambda_1, 5$), we can readily extend to any $\lambda_1 + 6t$ by the following technique.

Lemma 3.2. GDD($m, n, \lambda_1, 5$) can be extended to GDD($m, n, \lambda_1 + 6t, 5$), $t \geq 0$, provided neither m nor n is 2.

Proof. We let X be an m -set and Y be an n -set. We consider $(X; Y, \mathcal{B}_1)$ being a $\text{GDD}(m, n, \lambda_1, 5)$ as given. Let $\mathcal{B}_2 \in \text{BIBD}(X, 3, 6)$ and $\mathcal{B}_3 \in \text{BIBD}(Y, 3, 6)$. Both BIBDs exist by Theorem 2.1[(a)], since neither m nor n is 2. Now let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2 \cup \mathcal{B}_3$. Then $(X; Y, \mathcal{B})$ forms a $\text{GDD}(m, n, \lambda_1 + 6t, 5)$ as required. \square

Lemma 3.3. Let h and k be non-negative integers. Then $(6k, 6h+1), (6k, 6h+3), (6k+3, 6h+4) \in S_5(6t+1)$.

Proof Let (m, n) be such a pair from the list above. We want to construct a $\text{GDD}(m, n, 7, 5)$. Let X be an m -set and Y be an n -set. Then $\text{BIBD}(X \cup Y, 3, 5)$ is not empty since $|X \cup Y| = m+n \equiv 1 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{6}$. (Theorem 2.1[(b)]). Let $\mathcal{B}_1 \in \text{BIBD}(X \cup Y, 3, 5)$. Furthermore, $\text{BIBD}(X, 3, 2)$ exists since $|X| = m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ (Theorem 2.1[(c)]). So we let $\mathcal{B}_2 \in \text{BIBD}(X, 3, 2)$. Also $\text{BIBD}(Y, 3, 2)$ exists as well since $|Y| = n \equiv 0 \text{ or } 1 \pmod{3}$ (Theorem 2.1[(c)]). So let $\mathcal{B}_3 \in \text{BIBD}(Y, 3, 2)$. We now let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2 \cup \mathcal{B}_3$. Then $(X; Y, \mathcal{B})$ forms a $\text{GDD}(m, n, 7, 5)$ as desired. \square

Lemma 3.4. Let h and k be non-negative integers. Then $(6k, 6h), (6k+2, 6h+4), (6k, 6h+4), (6k+2, 6h+2) \in S_5(6t+2)$.

Proof. Let (m, n) be such a pair from the list. We want to construct a $\text{GDD}(m, n, 8, 5)$. Let X be an m -set and Y be an n -set. Then there exists $\text{BIBD}(X \cup Y, 3, 4)$ since $|X \cup Y| = m+n \equiv 1 \text{ or } 0 \pmod{3}$. (Theorem 2.1[(c)]). Let $\mathcal{B}_1 \in \text{BIBD}(X \cup Y, 3, 4)$. There exists $\text{GDD}(X, Y, 4, 1)$ by [5]. Let $\mathcal{B}_2 \in \text{GDD}(X, Y, 4, 1)$. We now let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2$. Then $(X; Y, \mathcal{B})$ forms a $\text{GDD}(m, n, 8, 5)$ as desired. \square

Lemma 3.5. Let h and k be non-negative integers. Then

- (a) $(6k, 6h+1), (6k, 6h+3), (6k+3, 6h+4) \in S_5(6t+3)$,
- (b) $(6k, 6h+5) \in S_5(6t+3)$.
- (c) $(6k+3, 6h+2) \in S_5(6t+3)$.

Proof. (a) Let (m, n) be an ordered pair. We wish to construct $\text{GDD}(m, n, 9, 5)$. Let X be an m -set and Y be an n -set. There exists $\text{BIBD}(X \cup Y, 3, 5)$ since $|X \cup Y| = m+n \equiv 1 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{6}$ (Theorem 2.1[(b)]). Hence let $\mathcal{B}_1 \in \text{BIBD}(X \cup Y, 3, 5)$. Also there exists $\text{BIBD}(X, 3, 4)$ since $|X| = m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ (Theorem 2.1[(c)]). Let $\mathcal{B}_2 \in \text{BIBD}(X, 3, 4)$. Finally there exists $\text{BIBD}(Y, 3, 4)$ since $|Y| = n \equiv 0 \text{ or } 1 \pmod{3}$ (Theorem 2.1[(c)]). Let

$\mathcal{B}_3 \in \text{BIBD}(Y, 3, 4)$. Now let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2 \cup \mathcal{B}_3$. Then $(X; Y, \mathcal{B})$ forms a $\text{GDD}(m, n, 9, 5)$.

(b) Suppose we want $\text{GDD}(6k, 6h + 5, 9, 5)$. Let X_k be a $6k$ -set and Y_h be a $6h + 5$ -set containing the element a . Furthermore, let $Y'_h = Y_h - \{a\}$. There exists $\text{BIBD}(X_k \cup Y'_h, 3, 4)$ since $|X_k \cup Y'_h| = 6k + 6h + 4 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ (Theorem 2.1[(c)]). Hence let $\mathcal{B}_1 \in \text{BIBD}(X_k \cup Y'_h, 3, 4)$. Also there exists $\text{GDD}(X_k, Y'_h, 2, 1)$ by [5]. Let $\mathcal{B}_2 \in \text{GDD}(X_k, Y'_h, 2, 1)$. We have the existence of $\text{GDD}(X_k, \{a\}, 1, 5)$ since $|X_k| = 6k$ ([1]). Let $\mathcal{B}_3 \in \text{GDD}(X_k, \{a\}, 1, 5)$. Now we have $\text{BIBD}(X_k, 3, 2)$ since $|X_k| \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ (Theorem 2.1[(c)]). Let $\mathcal{B}_4 \in \text{BIBD}(X_k, 3, 2)$. Finally, we have $\text{GDD}(\{a\}, Y'_h, 1, 9)$ since $|Y'_h| = 6h + 4 \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ ([1]). Let $\mathcal{B}_5 \in \text{GDD}(\{a\}, Y'_h, 1, 9)$. Now we have $\text{BIBD}(Y'_h, 3, 2)$ since $|Y'_h| \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ (Theorem 2.1[(c)]). Let $\mathcal{B}_6 \in \text{BIBD}(Y'_h, 3, 2)$. Now let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2 \cup \mathcal{B}_3 \cup \mathcal{B}_4 \cup \mathcal{B}_5 \cup \mathcal{B}_6$. Then $(X_k; Y_h, \mathcal{B})$ forms $\text{GDD}(6k, 6h + 5, 9, 5)$ as required.

(c) We want to construct $\text{GDD}(6k + 3, 6h + 2, 9, 5)$. Let X_k be a $6k + 3$ -set and Y_h be a $6h + 2$ -set containing the element a . Let $Y'_h = Y_h - \{a\}$. There exists $\text{BIBD}(X_k \cup Y_h, 3, 3)$ since $|X_k \cup Y_h| = 6k + 6h + 5$ is an odd number (Theorem 2.1[(d)]). (Note that Y_h , not Y'_h is used here.) Hence let $\mathcal{B}_1 \in \text{BIBD}(X_k \cup Y_h, 3, 3)$. We also have $\text{BIBD}(X_k \cup Y'_h, 3, 2)$ since $|X_k \cup Y'_h| = 6k + 4 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ (Theorem 2.1[(c)]). Let $\mathcal{B}_2 \in \text{BIBD}(X_k \cup Y'_h, 3, 2)$. We have the existence of $\text{GDD}(X_k, \{a\}, 1, 2)$ since $|X_k| = 6k + 3 \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ ([1]). Let $\mathcal{B}_3 \in \text{GDD}(X_k, \{a\}, 1, 2)$. We also have $\text{GDD}(Y'_h, \{a\}, 1, 6)$ since $|Y'_h| = 6h + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ ([1]). Let $\mathcal{B}_4 \in \text{GDD}(Y'_h, \{a\}, 1, 6)$. There exists $\text{BIBD}(X_k, 3, 3)$ since $|X_k| \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$. Let $\mathcal{B}_5 \in \text{BIBD}(X_k, 3, 3)$. Finally, there exists $\text{BIBD}(Y'_h, 3, 3)$ since $|Y'_h| \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$. Let $\mathcal{B}_6 \in \text{BIBD}(Y'_h, 3, 3)$. Now let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2 \cup \mathcal{B}_3 \cup \mathcal{B}_4 \cup \mathcal{B}_5 \cup \mathcal{B}_6$. Then $(X_k; Y_h, \mathcal{B})$ forms $\text{GDD}(6k + 3, 6h + 2, 9, 5)$ as required. \square

Lemma 3.6. Let h and k be non-negative integers. Then $(6k, 6h), (6k, 6h + 4) \in S_5(6t + 4)$,

Proof. Let (m, n) be one of the ordered pairs delineated. We wish to construct $\text{GDD}(m, n, 10, 5)$. Let X be an m -set and Y be an n -set. There exists $\text{BIBD}(X \cup Y, 3, 4)$ since $|X \cup Y| = m + n \equiv 0$ or $\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. (Theorem 2.1[(c)]). Hence let $\mathcal{B}_1 \in \text{BIBD}(X \cup Y, 3, 4)$. There also exists $\text{GDD}(X, Y, 2, 1)$ by Theorem [5]. Let $\mathcal{B}_2 \in \text{GDD}(X, Y, 2, 1)$. We have $\text{BIBD}(Y, 3, 4)$ since $|Y| \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{3}$ (Theorem 2.1[(c)]). Let $\mathcal{B}_3 \in \text{BIBD}(Y, 3, 4)$. Finally we also have $\text{BIBD}(X, 3, 4)$ for the same reason. Let $\mathcal{B}_4 \in \text{BIBD}(X, 3, 4)$. Now let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2 \cup \mathcal{B}_3 \cup \mathcal{B}_4$. Then $(X_k; Y_h, \mathcal{B})$ forms $\text{GDD}(m, n, 10, 5)$ as required. \square

Lemma 3.7. Let h and k be non-negative integers. Then $(6k, 6h + 1), (6k + 1, 6h + 2), (6k + 3, 6h + 4), (6k, 6h + 3), (6k + 2, 6h + 5), (6k + 4, 6h + 5) \in S_3(6t + 5)$.

Proof. Let (m, n) be such an ordered pair. We want to build $\text{GDD}(m, n, 11, 5)$. To this end, let X be an m -set and Y be an n -set. Then $\text{BIBD}(X \cup Y, 3, 5)$ exists since $|X \cup Y| \equiv 0$ or $\equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ (Theorem 2.1[(b)]). Let $\mathcal{B}_1 \in \text{BIBD}(X \cup Y, 3, 5)$. We also have the existence of $\text{BIBD}(X, 3, 6)$ since $|X| \not\equiv 2$ (Theorem 2.1[(a)]). So let $\mathcal{B}_2 \in \text{BIBD}(X, 3, 6)$. Also $\text{BIBD}(Y, 3, 6)$ exists for the same reasons. So let $\mathcal{B}_3 \in \text{BIBD}(Y, 3, 6)$. We now let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2 \cup \mathcal{B}_3$. Then $(X, Y; \mathcal{B})$ forms a $\text{GDD}(m, n, 11, 5)$ as desired. \square

Lemma 3.8. Let h and k be non-negative integers. Then

- (a) $(6k, 6h), (6k, 6h + 4) \in S_5(6t + 6)$.
- (b) $(6k, 6h + 2) \in S_5(6t + 6)$.

Proof. (a) Let (m, n) be such an ordered pair. We wish to construct $\text{GDD}(m, n, 6, 5)$. Let X be an m -set and Y be an n -set. There exists $\text{BIBD}(X \cup Y, 3, 4)$ since $|X \cup Y| = m + n \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{3}$ (Theorem 2.1[(c)]). Hence let $\mathcal{B}_1 \in \text{BIBD}(X \cup Y, 3, 4)$. There also exists $\text{GDD}(X, Y, 2, 1)$ by [5]. Let $\mathcal{B}_2 \in \text{GDD}(X, Y, 2, 1)$. Now let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2$. Then $(X_k; Y_h, \mathcal{B})$ forms $\text{GDD}(m, n, 6, 5)$ as required. \square

(b) We construct $\text{GDD}(6k, 6h + 2, 6, 5)$. Let X_k be a $6k$ -set and Y_h be a $6h + 2$ -set containing a . Let $Y'_h = Y_h - \{a\}$. Then $\text{BIBD}(X_k \cup Y'_h, 3, 5)$ exists since $|X_k \cup Y'_h| = 6k + 6h + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ (Theorem 2.1[(b)]). Let $\mathcal{B}_1 \in \text{BIBD}(X_k \cup Y'_h, 3, 5)$. Also there exists $\text{GDD}(X_k, \{a\}, 1, 5)$ since $|X_k| = 6k$ ([1]). We let $\mathcal{B}_2 \in \text{GDD}(X_k, \{a\}, 1, 5)$. Finally, there exists $\text{GDD}(Y'_h, \{a\}, 1, 6)$ since $|Y'_h| = 6h + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ ([1]). We let $\mathcal{B}_3 \in \text{GDD}(Y'_h, \{a\}, 1, 6)$. Now let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2 \cup \mathcal{B}_3$. Then $(X; Y, \mathcal{B})$ forms a $\text{GDD}(6k, 6h + 2, 6, 5)$ as desired.

4 Conclusion

We can now present our main result:

Theorem 4.1. Let m and n be positive integers with $m \neq 2$ or $n \neq 2$. There exists a $\text{GDD}(m, n, \lambda, 5)$ if and only if

- 1. $3 \mid \lambda[m(m - 1) + n(n - 1)] + mn$, and
- 2. $2 \mid \lambda(m - 1) + n$ and $2 \mid \lambda(n - 1) + m$.

Proof. The proof follows from Lemmas 3.1 - 3.8. \square

Acknowledgment The authors would like to thank Professor Dinesh G. Sarvate , Professor Narong Punnim for drawing their attention to the subject as well as the ensuing useful conversations. The kind guidance of Associate Professor Nittiya Pabhapote is hereby gratefully acknowledged as well.

References

- [1] A. Chaiyasena, S.P. Hurd, N. Punnim and D. G. Sarvate, Group Divisible Designs with Two Association Classes, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.*, **82**(1) (2012), 179-198.
- [2] H. L. Fu and C. A. Rodger, Group divisible designs with two associate classes: $n = 2$ or $m = 2$, *J. Combin. Theory Ser A* **83**(1) (1998), 94-117.
- [3] H. L. Fu, C. A. Rodger, and D. G. Sarvate, The existence of group divisible designs with first and second associates, having block size 3, *Ars Combinatoria* **54** (2000), 33-50.
- [4] C. C. Lindner and C. A. Rodger, *Design Theory*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1997.
- [5] N. Pabhapote and N. Punnim, Group divisible designs with two associate classes and $\lambda_2 = 1$, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, **2011** (2011). Article ID 148580, 10 pages.