

## ON THE SUBNORMALISER CONDITION FOR SUBGROUPS

Bui Xuan Hai and Tong Viet Phi Hung

*Department of Mathematics-Informatics  
Vietnam National University of HCM-City  
227 Nguyen Van Cu street, Dist. 5  
Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam  
e-mail: bhai@mathdep.hcmuns.edu.vn;  
tvphihung@mathdep.hcmuns.edu.vn*

### Abstract

A subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is said to satisfy the *subnormaliser condition* in  $G$  if for every subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  such that  $H \trianglelefteq K$ , then  $N_G(K) \leq N_G(H)$ . In this paper, we study this embedding property of subgroups. We establish the relation between groups, whose subgroups satisfy the subnormaliser condition and the so called  $\overline{T}$ -groups, i.e., the groups, in which the normality is a transitive relation.

Let  $G$  be a group,  $D$  a subgroup,  $A$  a subset and  $x, y$  elements of  $G$ . Throughout in this paper, we denote by  $y^x := x^{-1}yx$ ,  $D^x := x^{-1}Dx$ ,  $D^A := \langle D^a | a \in A \rangle$ , the subgroup of  $G$  generated by the set  $\cup_{a \in A} D^a$ . Let  $D$  be a subgroup of a group  $G$ . If  $D \leq H \leq G$ , then we say that a subgroup  $H$  is an *intermediate subgroup of  $G$  with respect to  $D$* . If  $D$  is understood from the context and there are no confusions, then we can say briefly that  $H$  is an *intermediate subgroup* of  $G$ . An intermediate subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  is called  *$D$ -complete* (briefly *complete* if there is no confusion) if  $D^H = H$ . A subgroup  $D$  is said to be *polynormal* in  $G$  if  $D^{(x)}$  is  $D$ -complete for each element  $x$  in  $G$ . We say that a subgroup  $D$  is *abnormal* (resp. *weakly abnormal*) in a group  $G$ , if for every element  $x \in G$  we have  $x \in \langle D, D^x \rangle$  (resp.  $x \in D^{(x)}$ ). A subgroup  $D$  is called *pronormal* (resp. *weakly pronormal*) in  $G$ , if for every element  $x \in G$ , there exists an element  $u \in \langle D, D^x \rangle$  (resp.  $u \in D^{(x)}$ ) such that  $D^x = D^u$ . A subgroup  $D$  is *paranormal* in  $G$  if for every element  $x \in G$  the subgroup  $\langle D, D^x \rangle$  is  $D$ -complete. It is well known that all normal, abnormal,

---

**Key words:** polynormal subgroup, subnormaliser, finite supersolvable group.  
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20F16, 20F19

weakly abnormal, pronormal, weakly pronormal and paranormal subgroups are polynormal subgroups. A subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is said to satisfy the *subnormaliser condition* in  $G$  if for every subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  such that  $H \trianglelefteq K$ , it follows that  $N_G(K) \leq N_G(H)$ . It is clear that a polynormal subgroup satisfies the subnormaliser condition. The inverse is not true. The counterexample will be given in the following:

### Example

Let  $G$  be a group given by generators  $a, b, c, d, e, f$  and the following defined relations:

$$\begin{aligned} a^3 &= b^2 = c^2 = d^3 = e^3 = f^3 = 1, \\ [b, a] &= c, [c, a] = bc, [d, a] = d^2e, [e, a] = e^2f, \\ [f, a] &= df^2, cb = bc, db = bd, \\ [e, b] &= e, [f, b] = f, [d, c] = d, [e, c] = e, \\ fc &= cf, ed = de, fd = df, fe = ef. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the subgroup  $D = \langle b, f \rangle$  of  $G$ . Then, a simple verification shows that  $D$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $G$ . On the other hand, we have

$$N_G(D) = \langle b, c, d, f \rangle, D^{\langle a \rangle} = \langle b, c, f, d, e \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad D^{D^{\langle a \rangle}} = \langle b, f, e \rangle \neq D^{\langle a \rangle}.$$

Hence  $D$  is not polynormal in  $G$ .

It is easy to show that, if  $D$  is polynormal in  $G$  then every intermediate subgroup of  $G$  with respect to  $D$  is polynormal too (see also [1]). So, every such a subgroup satisfies the subnormaliser condition. In the following we show that the converse is also true.

**Theorem 1** *Let  $D$  be a subgroup of a group  $G$ . Then  $D$  is polynormal in  $G$  if and only if every  $D$ -complete intermediate subgroup of  $G$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $G$ .*

To prove this theorem, we need some auxiliary lemmas. In the following, the proofs of lemmas 1, 2 and 3 are easy and will be omitted.

**Lemma 1** *Let  $\varphi : G \rightarrow G'$  be a group homomorphism,  $D$  a subgroup of  $G$  containing  $\ker \varphi$  and  $F$  an intermediate subgroup of  $G$ . Then, the following statements hold:*

- (i)  $\varphi(N_G(D)) = N_{\varphi(G)}(\varphi(D))$ ;
- (ii)  $D$  is normal in  $F$  if and only if  $\varphi(D)$  is normal in  $\varphi(F)$ ;
- (iii)  $\varphi(F)$  is complete in  $\varphi(G)$  with respect to  $\varphi(D)$  if and only if  $F$  is complete in  $G$  with respect to  $D$ ;
- (iv) A subgroup  $D$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition (resp.  $D$  is polynormal, paranormal, pronormal) in  $G$  if and only if  $\varphi(D)$  satisfies the sub-

normaliser condition (resp.  $\varphi(D)$  is polynormal, paranormal, pronormal ) in  $\varphi(G)$ .

**Corollary 1** *Let  $H$  be a normal subgroup of a group  $G$  and  $D$  a subgroup of  $G$  containing  $H$ . Then,  $D$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition (resp.  $D$  is polynormal, paranormal, pronormal) in  $G$  if and only if the quotient group  $D/H$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition (resp.  $D/H$  is polynormal, paranormal, pronormal) in  $G/H$ .*

**Lemma 2** *Let  $D$  be a subgroup of a group  $G$  and  $K$  a subgroup of  $G$  containing  $D$ . If  $D$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition (resp.  $D$  is polynormal, paranormal, pronormal ) in  $G$ , then  $D$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition (resp.  $D$  is polynormal, paranormal, pronormal ) in  $K$ .*

**Lemma 3** *Let  $D$  be a subgroup of a group  $G$ . Then, the following statements hold:*

- (i) *If  $D$  is a subnormal subgroup, satisfying the subnormaliser condition in  $G$ , then  $D$  is normal in  $G$ ;*
- (ii) *If  $D$  is polynormal in  $G$ , then  $D$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $G$ .*

**Proof of Theorem 1** Suppose that  $D$  is polynormal in  $G$ . Then, every  $D$ -complete intermediate subgroup of  $G$  is polynormal in  $G$ , so by Lemma 3 (ii), it satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $G$ . Conversely, suppose that every  $D$ -complete intermediate subgroup of  $G$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $G$ . We will prove that  $D$  is polynormal in  $G$ . Now, for any  $x \in G$ , put  $K := \langle D, x \rangle$  and  $H := D^{\langle x \rangle}$ . We have  $H = D^K \trianglelefteq K$ . Consider the following descending series of subgroups  $H_\nu$ :

$$H_0 = H, H_1 = D^{H_0}, \dots, H_{\nu+1} = D^{H_\nu}, \text{ and } H_\mu = \bigcap_{\nu < \mu} H_\nu$$

for a limiting ordinal number  $\mu$ . Clearly, in some finite or transfinite step, the series  $\{H_\nu\}_\nu$  will be stable, i.e., there exists some minimal ordinal number  $\rho$  such that

$$H_{\rho+1} = D^{H_\rho} = H_\rho.$$

Put  $H^* = H_\rho = H_{\rho+1}$ . Clearly,  $H^*$  is a complete intermediate subgroup of  $G$  with respect to  $D$  and  $H^* = H_\rho \trianglelefteq H_{\rho-1} \trianglelefteq \dots \trianglelefteq H_1 \trianglelefteq H_0 = H$ . Since  $H^*$  is  $D$ -complete, by supposition  $H^*$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $G$ . We will prove that  $H^*$  is normal in  $H$ . Thus, suppose that  $H^*$  is not normal in  $H$ . Then, there exists some ordinal number  $\lambda$  with  $0 < \lambda \leq \rho$  such that  $H^*$  is not normal in  $H_{\lambda-1}$ , but  $H^* \trianglelefteq H_\lambda \trianglelefteq H_{\lambda-1}$ . Since  $H^*$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $G$ , by Lemma 2,  $H^*$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $H_{\lambda-1}$ , and it follows that  $H^*$  is normal in  $H_{\lambda-1}$  (by applying Lemma 3(i)). This is

a contradiction. So,  $H^*$  is normal in  $H$ . Moreover, since  $H \trianglelefteq K$ , by Lemma 2 and Lemma 3(i), it follows that  $H^*$  is normal in  $K$ . Since  $D \leq H^* \trianglelefteq K$ ,  $H = D^K \leq H^* \leq H$ , hence  $H^* = H$ . Therefore,  $H = D^{(x)}$  is  $D$ -complete in  $G$  for every  $x \in G$ . So,  $D$  is polynormal in  $G$ . The proof of the theorem is now completed.  $\square$

Recall that a subgroup  $D$  is *weakly abnormal* in a group  $G$  if for any  $x \in G$ , we have  $x \in D^{<x>}$ . Every weakly abnormal subgroup in a group  $G$  is polynormal in  $G$ . Moreover, it is well-known that a subgroup  $D$  of a group  $G$  is weakly abnormal in  $G$  if and only if every intermediate subgroup of  $G$  with respect to  $D$  is self-normalizing. Applying Theorem 1, we can obtain some stronger result as the following:

**Theorem 2** *Let  $D$  be a subgroup of a group  $G$ . Then  $D$  is weakly abnormal in  $G$  if and only if every  $D$ -complete intermediate subgroup of  $G$  is self-normalizing.*

**Proof** Suppose that every  $D$ -complete intermediate subgroup of  $G$  is self-normalizing. Then, clearly that, every  $D$ -complete intermediate subgroup of  $G$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $G$ . By Theorem 1,  $D$  is polynormal in  $G$ . It is well-known that,  $D$  is polynormal in  $G$  iff  $D^H$  is  $D$ -complete for every intermediate subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ . So, for such a subgroup  $H$ , we have  $H \leq N_G(D^H) = D^H$ , hence  $H = D^H$  is  $D$ -complete. By supposition,  $H$  is self-normalizing. Thus,  $D$  is weakly abnormal in  $G$ .  $\square$

Recall that a subgroup  $D$  is *paranormal* in  $G$  if for each element  $x \in G$ , the subgroup  $\langle D, D^x \rangle$  is  $D$ -complete. It is well-known that if  $D$  is a polynormal subgroup of  $G$ , then  $D$  is paranormal in  $G$  iff for every  $D$ -complete subgroup  $F$  and every  $x \in G$ , from the condition  $D^x \leq N_G(F)$ , it follows that  $D^x \leq F$ . In the connection with this property, we introduce the following concept:

**Definition 1** A subgroup  $D$  is called *quasi-paranormal* in a group  $G$ , if for any  $D$ -complete intermediate subgroup  $F$  of  $G$ , and any  $x \in G$ , from the condition  $D^x \leq N_G(F)$ , it follows that  $D^x \leq F$ .

Clearly, every paranormal subgroup of  $G$  is quasi-paranormal. Moreover, a subgroup  $D$  is paranormal in  $G$  iff  $D$  is quasi-paranormal and polynormal in  $G$ .

**Lemma 4** *Every quasi-paranormal subgroup  $D$  of a group  $G$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $G$ .*

**Proof** Suppose that  $D$  is quasi-paranormal in  $G$  and  $D \trianglelefteq K \leq G$ . Then, for any  $x \in N_G(K)$ , we have  $D^x \leq K^x = K \leq N_G(D)$ . Since  $D$  is quasi-

paranormal in  $G$ , it follows that  $D^x \leq D$ . Similarly, we have  $D^{x^{-1}} \leq D$ . Hence  $D^x = D$  or  $x \in N_G(D)$ . Therefore  $N_G(K) \leq N_G(D)$ . Hence,  $D$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $G$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5** *If  $D$  is paranormal in  $G$ , then every  $D$ -complete intermediate subgroup of  $G$  is paranormal in  $G$ .*

**Proof** Suppose that  $D$  is paranormal in  $G$  and  $F$  is a  $D$ -complete intermediate subgroup of  $G$ . Then, for any  $x \in G$ , we have  $D^{\langle D, D^x \rangle} = \langle D, D^x \rangle$ . Since  $D^F = F$ , it follows  $(D^x)^{F^x} = F^x$ . By virtue of this fact, we have

$$\langle F, F^x \rangle \leq \langle D, D^x \rangle^{\langle F, F^x \rangle} = (D^{\langle D, D^x \rangle})^{\langle F, F^x \rangle} \leq F^{\langle F, F^x \rangle} \leq \langle F, F^x \rangle.$$

Hence  $\langle F, F^x \rangle = F^{\langle F, F^x \rangle}$  or  $\langle F, F^x \rangle$  is  $F$ -complete subgroup of  $G$ . Therefore,  $F$  is paranormal in  $G$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3** *Let  $D$  be a subgroup of a group  $G$ . Then  $D$  is paranormal in  $G$  if and only if every  $D$ -complete intermediate subgroup of  $G$  is quasi-paranormal in  $G$ .*

**Proof** Suppose that  $D$  is paranormal in  $G$ . Then by Lemma 5, every  $D$ -complete intermediate subgroup of  $G$  is paranormal in  $G$  and hence, it is quasi-paranormal in  $G$ . Conversely, suppose that every  $D$ -complete intermediate subgroup of  $G$  is quasi-paranormal in  $G$ . By Lemma 4, it satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $G$ . According to Theorem 1,  $D$  is polynormal in  $G$ . Hence,  $D$  is paranormal in  $G$ .  $\square$

A subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  is called an  $\mathcal{H}$ -subgroup if for every  $g \in G$ ,  $H^g \cap N_G(H) \leq H$ . Let  $H$  be an  $\mathcal{H}$ -subgroup of a group  $G$  and  $K \leq G$  such that  $H \trianglelefteq K$ . Then for any  $x \in N_G(K)$ , we have  $H^x \leq K^x = K \leq N_G(H)$ . Since  $H$  is an  $\mathcal{H}$ -subgroup, it follows that  $H^x \leq H$ . This conclusion is also true for  $x^{-1} \in N_G(K)$ . Therefore,  $H^x = H$  or  $N_G(K) \leq N_G(H)$ . So, every  $\mathcal{H}$ -subgroup of a group  $G$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $G$ .

**Proposition 1** *If  $D$  is a subgroup of a group  $G$  such that every  $D$ -complete intermediate subgroup of  $G$  is an  $\mathcal{H}$ -subgroup of  $G$ , then  $D$  is paranormal in  $G$ .*

**Proof** Let  $D$  be such a subgroup as in the proposition. Then, as we have noted above, every  $D$ -complete intermediate subgroup of  $G$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $G$ . From Theorem 1, it follows that  $D$  is polynormal in  $G$ . For any  $D$ -complete intermediate subgroup  $F$  and any  $x \in G$  such that  $D^x \leq N_G(F)$ , we will prove that  $D^x \leq F$ . In fact, since  $F$  is an  $\mathcal{H}$ -subgroup and  $D^x \leq N_G(F)$ , it follows that  $D^x \leq F^x \cap N_G(F) \leq F$ . Therefore  $D^x \leq F$ .

Thus,  $D$  is polynormal and quasi-paranormal in  $G$ . Hence,  $D$  is paranormal in  $G$ .  $\square$

The subgroup embedding property of the subnormaliser condition was introduced by V. I. Mysovskikh in [8] and it was investigated in [4]. For finite groups, A. Ballester-Bolinches and R. Esteban-Romero established the relation between subgroups with the embedding property above and the so called  $T$ -groups, groups in which every subnormal subgroup is normal. From Theorem A in [4], we see that a finite group  $G$  is a  $T$ -group if and only if every subgroup of  $G$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $G$ . A group  $G$  is called a  $\overline{T}$ -group if each subgroup of  $G$  is a  $T$ -group. A finite  $T$ -group is a  $\overline{T}$ -group (see [11], Th. 1\*). So, combining two results above we can see that "If every subgroup of a finite group  $G$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $G$  then  $G$  is a  $\overline{T}$ -group." Here, we prove that in the proposition above the condition of a finiteness should be omitted. In fact, we prove the following more general result:

**Theorem 4** *A group  $G$  is a  $\overline{T}$ -group if and only if every subgroup of  $G$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $G$ .*

**Proof** Suppose that  $G$  is a  $\overline{T}$ -group and  $D$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . By Theorem 1 [5],  $D$  is polynormal in  $G$ . It follows that  $D$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $G$ .

Conversely, suppose that every subgroup of  $G$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $G$ . Then, for  $D \leq H \leq G$ ,  $D$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $H$ . Hence, to prove that  $G$  is a  $\overline{T}$ -group, it suffices to show that  $G$  is a  $T$ -group. Thus, let  $D$  be a subnormal subgroup of  $G$  and suppose that  $D \trianglelefteq K \trianglelefteq L$ . Since  $D$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $G$ , it follows that  $L \leq N_G(K) \leq N_G(D)$ . Hence,  $D \trianglelefteq L$ . Now, by induction, we conclude that  $D$  is normal in  $G$ . The proof of our theorem is now completed.  $\square$

Recall that a group  $G$  is an  $FC$ -group if every element in  $G$  has only a finite number of conjugates.

**Corollary 2** *Let  $G$  be a locally solvable  $T$ -group. If  $G$  is an  $FC$ -group then every subgroup of  $G$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $G$ .*

**Proof** By Corollary 3.8 [7],  $G$  is a  $\overline{T}$ -group. Now, the conclusion is obtained from Theorem 4.  $\square$

We say that a finite group  $G$  satisfies the condition  $C_p$  (where  $p$  is a prime divisor of  $|G|$ ) if every subgroup of a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  is normal in  $N_G(P)$ . In [11], D.J.S. Robinson showed that a finite group  $G$  is a  $\overline{T}$ -group iff it satisfies the condition  $C_p$  for every prime divisor  $p$  of  $|G|$ . We use this fact

to prove the following:

**Corollary 3** *Let  $G$  be a locally finite group. Then  $G$  is a solvable  $\overline{T}$ -group if and only if every cyclic subgroup of  $G$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $G$ .*

**Proof** If  $G$  is a  $\overline{T}$ -group then the conclusion follows from Theorem 4. Conversely, suppose that every cyclic subgroup of  $G$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $G$ . Let  $H$  be a finitely generated subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $H$  is finite and every cyclic subgroup of  $H$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $H$ . We show that  $H$  satisfies the  $C_p$  condition for every prime divisor  $p$  of  $|H|$ . Let  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $H$  and  $K$  be a subgroup of  $P$ . Then, for every  $x$  in  $K$ , since  $P$  is nilpotent, the cyclic subgroup generated by  $x$  is subnormal and satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $N_H(P)$ , so  $\langle x \rangle \trianglelefteq N_H(P)$ . It follows that  $K \trianglelefteq N_H(P)$ . Using the fact, mentioned above we conclude that  $H$  is a  $T$ -group. By Corollary 2 [10],  $G$  is a  $T$ -group. As the hypotheses are inherited by every subgroup of  $G$ , it follows that  $G$  is a  $\overline{T}$ -group. By the Corollary of Theorem 1\* [11],  $G$  is solvable.  $\square$

**Corollary 4** *Let  $G$  be a periodic FC-group. If every cyclic subgroup of  $G$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $G$  then  $G$  is a solvable  $\overline{T}$ -group.*

**Proof** If  $G$  is a periodic FC-group then  $G$  is locally normal and hence it is locally finite (see 15.1.12 [12]). So, the conclusion follows from Corollary 3.  $\square$

**Theorem 5** *Let  $G$  be an FC-group. Then  $G$  is a solvable  $T$ -group if and only if every its cyclic subgroup satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $G$ .*

**Proof** If  $G$  is a solvable  $T$ -group then by Corollary 2, every its cyclic subgroup satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $G$ . Conversely, suppose that every cyclic subgroup of  $G$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $G$ . We have to prove that  $G$  is a solvable  $T$ -group. If  $G$  is periodic or nilpotent then the result is clear by argument above and Corollary 4. So, we can assume that  $G$  is not periodic nor nilpotent. Denote by  $Z$  the center of  $G$ . By 15.1.16 [12], it follows that there exists a non-periodic element  $z$  in  $Z$ . By 15.1.7 [12],  $G'$  is periodic. It follows from Corollary 4 and Theorem 2.3.1 [9] that  $G''$  is abelian and periodic. If  $G''$  is not contained in  $Z$  then there exists a non-central periodic element  $a$  in  $G'$  such that the cyclic subgroup generated by  $a$  is subnormal in  $G$  and hence it is normal in  $G$ . If  $G''$  is contained in  $Z$  then  $G'$  is nilpotent. Since  $G$  is not nilpotent, it follows that  $G'$  has nontrivial intersection with  $Z$ . So, there exists an element in  $G'$  having the same property as  $a$  in the case above. Thus, there always exists a non-central periodic element  $a$  such that  $\langle a \rangle$  is normal in  $G$ . If  $b = az$  then  $b$  is not periodic and  $\langle b \rangle$  is normal in  $G$ . Since  $a$  is not central, there

exists  $g$  in  $G$  such that  $a^g := g^{-1}ag \neq a$ . Since  $\langle a \rangle$  and  $\langle b \rangle$  are normal in  $G$ , it follows that there exist integer numbers  $i, j > 1$  such that  $a^g = a^i$ ,  $b^g = b^j$ . Hence  $z^{j-1} = a^{i-j}$ . Since  $a$  is an element of a finite order, it follows that  $z$  is an element of a finite order. This contradiction proves our theorem.  $\square$

**Corollary 5** *Let  $G$  be an FC-group. Then  $G$  is a solvable  $T$ -group if and only if  $G$  is a  $\overline{T}$ -group.*

**Proof** By applying Theorem 5 and Corollary 2.  $\square$

Note that Theorem 1\* [11] and Corollary 3.8 [7] are particular cases of Corollary 5. In [4], the authors proved that a finite group  $G$  is a solvable  $T$ -group iff all its subgroups are  $\mathcal{H}$ -subgroups. The following theorem shows that this result is also true for FC-groups.

**Theorem 6** *Let  $G$  be an FC-group. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $G$  is a solvable  $T$ -group;
- (ii) every subgroup of  $G$  is an  $\mathcal{H}$ -subgroup;
- (iii) every cyclic subgroup of  $G$  is an  $\mathcal{H}$ -subgroup.

To prove this theorem, we need the following lemma:

**Lemma 6** *Let  $G$  be a periodic FC-group. If  $G$  is a solvable  $T$ -group then every cyclic subgroup of  $G$  is an  $\mathcal{H}$ -subgroup.*

**Proof** Let  $H$  be a subgroup generated by  $a \in G$ . We will prove that for any  $g \in G$ ,  $H^g \cap N_G(H) \leq H$ . For any  $1 \neq x \in H^g \cap N_G(H)$ ,  $x = (a^i)^g$  for some positive integer number  $i$ . Put  $L = \langle a^i \rangle$ ,  $K = \langle a, g \rangle$ . Then, as we have mentioned in the proof of Corollary 4 above,  $K$  is a finite subgroup of  $G$ . Therefore,  $K$  is a finite solvable  $T$ -group and  $x \in L^g \cap N_K(L)$ . By Theorem 1 [4],  $L$  is an  $\mathcal{H}$ -subgroup of  $G$ , hence  $x \in L \leq H$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 6** If  $G$  is abelian then the conclusions are clear. So, we can assume that  $G$  is nonabelian.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) By Theorem 6.1.1 [9] and Corollary 5,  $G$  is periodic. The conclusion is now obtained by applying Lemma 6.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Since every  $\mathcal{H}$ -subgroup of  $G$  satisfies the subnormaliser condition in  $G$ , by Theorem 5,  $G$  is a solvable  $T$ -group. So, Theorem 6.1.1 [9],  $G$  is a periodic solvable  $T$ -group. By Theorem 3.9 [7], every subgroup of  $G$  is pronormal in  $G$ . Now, let  $H$  be an arbitrary subgroup of  $G$ . We have to show that  $H^g \cap N_G(H) \leq H$ , for any  $g \in G$ . In fact, let us consider an arbitrary



element  $x \in H^g \cap N_G(H)$ . Then, there exists some element  $a \in H$  such that  $x = a^g$ . Put  $L := \langle a \rangle^H$  and  $K := \langle L, g \rangle$ . Then  $L \trianglelefteq H$ ,  $L \leq K$  and  $K$  is a finite solvable  $T$ -group. By supposition, every cyclic subgroup of  $L$  is an  $\mathcal{H}$ -subgroup of  $G$ , so it is also an  $\mathcal{H}$ -subgroup of  $K$ . Since  $L$  is pronormal in  $G$ ,  $L$  is also pronormal in  $K$ . It follows from Theorem 5 [4] that  $L$  is an  $\mathcal{H}$ -subgroup of  $K$ . Hence,  $L^g \cap N_K(L) \leq L$ . Now, we show that  $x \in L^g \cap N_K(L)$ . In fact, since  $x = a^g \in L^g$ ,  $L \trianglelefteq H$  and  $L$  is pronormal in  $K$ , it follows that  $N_K(H) \leq N_K(L)$ . Hence  $x \in L^g \cap N_K(L) \leq L \leq H$ . Thus, we have proved that  $H$  is an  $\mathcal{H}$ -subgroup of  $G$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). By Theorem 5. □

## References

- [1] M. S. Bah, Z.I. Borevich, "On Arrangement of Subgroups" (Russian), "Kolsa i Lineinye Gruppy", Krasnodar (1988), 14-41.
- [2] R. Baer, *Situation der Untergruppen und Struktur der Gruppe*, S.-B. Heidelberg Akad. 2(1933), 12-17.
- [3] Bianchi, Mariagrazia, Gillio Berta Mauri, AnnaHerzog, Marcel; Verardi, Libero, *On finite solvable groups in which normality is a transitive relation*, J. Group Theory, 3 No. 2, 147-156 (2000).
- [4] A. Ballester-Bolinchés and R. Esteban-Romero, *On Finite  $T$ -Groups*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 75 (2003), 181-191.
- [5] P.V. Gavron and L.Yu. Kolotilina, *Subgroups in  $T$ -groups*, Zapiski Nauch. Seminar. LOMI im. V.A. Steklova AN SSSR, Vol. 103, pp. 62-65, 1980, English translation in J. Sov. Math. 24 (1984), 426-428.
- [6] Gavron, Masedonska-Nosalska O., *Certain properties of the lattice of subgroups of a given group*, Zesz. Nauk. Politech. Slask. 747, Mat. Fiz. 42, 5-13 (1984).
- [7] F.D. Giovanni, G. Vincenzi, *Pronormality In Infinite Groups*, Mathematical Proceedings of the Royal Irish Academy, 100A(2)(2000), 198-203.
- [8] V.I. Mysovskikh, *Investigation of subgroup embeddings by the computer algebra package GAP*, in: Computer algebra in scientific computing-CASC'99 (Munich-Springer- Berlin (1999), 309-315.
- [9] D.J.S. Robinson, *Groups in which normality is a transitive relation*, Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, 60(1964), 21-38.
- [10] D. J. S. Robinson, "Finiteness conditions and generalized soluble groups", Springer, Berlin, 1972.
- [11] D.J.S. Robinson, *A note on finite groups in which normality is a transitive relation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 19 (1968), 933- 937.
- [12] W.R. Scott, "Group theory", Dover Publication, INC, 1987.