

A REMARK ON SOME SEMIGROUPS OF HYPERGROUP HOMOMORPHISMS

W. Mora^{*}, K. Kwakpatoon[†] and P. Youngkhong[‡]

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,
Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand
e-mail: ^{*}winita.m@student.chula.ac.th,
[†]kannika.k@chula.ac.th and [‡]pyoungkhong@yahoo.com*

Abstract

Let \mathbb{Z} be the set of integers, n a positive integer and (\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n) the hypergroup where $x \circ_n y = x + y + n\mathbb{Z}$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denote by $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$ the semigroup, under composition, of all homomorphisms of (\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n) . It has been shown that for $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, f \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$ if and only if $f(x + n\mathbb{Z}) = xf(1) + n\mathbb{Z}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $|\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)| = 2^{n_0}$. Using this characterization, we show in this paper that the relation δ on $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$ defined by $f\delta g \Leftrightarrow f(1) \equiv g(1) \pmod{n}$ is a congruence on $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$ and $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)/\delta \cong (\mathbb{Z}_n, \cdot)$.

1 Introduction

A *hyperoperation* on a nonempty set H is a function $\circ : H \times H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^*(H)$ where $\mathcal{P}(H)$ is the power set of H and $\mathcal{P}^*(H) = \mathcal{P}(H) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. For $x, y \in H, x \circ y$ denotes the value of (x, y) . For $A, B \subseteq H$, let

$$A \circ B = \bigcup_{\substack{a \in A \\ b \in B}} a \circ b.$$

If $x \in H$ and $A \subseteq H$, let $x \circ A$ and $A \circ x$ stand for $\{x\} \circ A$ and $A \circ \{x\}$, respectively. The system (H, \circ) is called a *hypergroup* if

$$x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ y) \circ z \text{ and } H \circ x = x \circ H = H \text{ for all } x, y, z \in H.$$

Key words: Hypergroup, homomorphism, congruence
2000 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 20N20

Then every group is a hypergroup. By a *homomorphism* of the hypergroup (H, \circ) we mean a function $f : H \rightarrow H$ such that

$$f(x \circ y) = f(x) \circ f(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in H.$$

We note here that our homomorphisms are *good homomorphisms* in [1]. Denote by $\text{Hom}(H, \circ)$ the set of all homomorphisms of (H, \circ) . Then $\text{Hom}(H, \circ)$ is closed under composition. To show this, let $f, g \in \text{Hom}(H, \circ)$ and $x, y \in H$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (gf)(x \circ y) &= g(f(x \circ y)) = g(f(x) \circ f(y)) \\ &= g(f(x)) \circ g(f(y)) = (gf)(x) \circ (gf)(y). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\text{Hom}(H, \circ)$ is a semigroup under composition. Notice that the identity mapping on H , 1_H , is the identity of the semigroup $\text{Hom}(H, \circ)$.

If G is a group, N is a normal subgroup of G and \circ_N is the hyperoperation on G defined by

$$x \circ_N y = xyN \text{ for all } x, y \in G,$$

then (G, \circ) is a hypergroup ([1], page 11). Observe that if $N = \{e\}$ where e is the identity of G , then $(G, \circ_N) = G$. If \mathbb{Z} is the set of integers and n is a positive integer, let $(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n) = (\mathbb{Z}, \circ_{n\mathbb{Z}})$ under usual addition, that is,

$$x \circ_n y = x + y + n\mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

In [3], the authors characterized the elements of $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$ as follows:

Theorem 1.1. ([3]) *If $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) $f \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$.
- (ii) $f(x + n\mathbb{Z}) = xf(1) + n\mathbb{Z}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (iii) *There exists an integer a such that*

$$f(x + n\mathbb{Z}) = xa + n\mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The cardinality of a set X is denoted by $|X|$.

The following fact was also provided in [3].

Theorem 1.2. ([3]) *The following statements hold.*

- (i) *For each $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $|\{f \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n) \mid f(1) = a\}| = 2^{\aleph_0}$.*
- (ii) $|\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)| = 2^{\aleph_0}$.

We note here that multi-valued homomorphisms between groups were defined naturally in [6]. Some studies of this notation can be found in [6],[4], [5]

and [7].

Let \mathbb{Z}_n be the set of integers modulo n . For $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, let \bar{x} be the congruence class of x modulo n . Recall that $\mathbb{Z}_n = \{\bar{x} \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \dots, \overline{n-1}\}$. If $\bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} = \overline{xy}$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, then (\mathbb{Z}_n, \cdot) is a semigroup of order n having $\bar{0}$ and $\bar{1}$ as its zero and identity, respectively.

Recall that an equivalence relation ρ on a semigroup S is called a *congruence* on S if

$$\text{for all } x, y, z \in S, \quad x \rho y \Rightarrow zx \rho zy \text{ and } xz \rho yz.$$

If ρ is a congruence on a semigroup S , then S/ρ under the operation

$$(x\rho)(y\rho) = (xy)\rho \text{ for all } x, y \in S$$

is a semigroup where $x\rho$ is the ρ -class of S containing x . Moreover, $x \mapsto x\rho$ is an epimorphism from S onto S/ρ .

Let δ be the relation defined on the semigroup $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$ as follows:

$$\text{for } f, g \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n), \quad (f, g) \in \delta \Leftrightarrow f(1) \equiv g(1) \pmod{n}.$$

The purpose of this paper is to show that δ is a congruence on $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$ and $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)/\delta \cong (\mathbb{Z}_n, \cdot)$.

2 Main Result

First, we give as a lemma how gf and fg map on each coset $x + n\mathbb{Z}$ where $f, g \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$.

Lemma 2.1. *If $f, g \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$, then*

$$(gf)(x + n\mathbb{Z}) = (fg)(x + n\mathbb{Z}) = xf(1)g(1) + n\mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 1.1, we have that for $x \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (gf)(x + n\mathbb{Z}) &= g(f(x + n\mathbb{Z})) = g(xf(1) + n\mathbb{Z}) \\ &= xf(1)g(1) + n\mathbb{Z} \\ &= xg(1)f(1) + n\mathbb{Z} \\ &= f(xg(1) + n\mathbb{Z}) \\ &= f(g(x + n\mathbb{Z})) \\ &= (fg)(x + n\mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2.2. *If $f, g \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$, then*

$$(gf)(1) \equiv f(1)g(1) \equiv (fg)(1) \pmod{n}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.1,

$$(gf)(1 + n\mathbb{Z}) = (fg)(1 + n\mathbb{Z}) = f(1)g(1) + n\mathbb{Z}.$$

Then $(gf)(1) \in (gf)(1 + n\mathbb{Z}) = f(1)g(1) + n\mathbb{Z}$ and $(fg)(1) \in (fg)(1 + n\mathbb{Z}) = f(1)g(1) + n\mathbb{Z}$, so

$$(gf)(1) \equiv f(1)g(1) \pmod{n} \quad \text{and} \quad (fg)(1) \equiv f(1)g(1) \pmod{n}.$$

Since $f(1)g(1) = g(1)f(1)$, the desired result follows. \square

Proposition 2.3. *The relation δ is a congruence on the semigroup $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$.*

Proof. The relation δ is clearly an equivalence relation on $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$. If $f, g, h \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$ are such that $f \delta g$, then $f(1) \equiv g(1) \pmod{n}$. Thus

$$h(1)f(1) \equiv h(1)g(1) \pmod{n}. \quad (1)$$

By Corollary 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} (hf)(1) &\equiv h(1)f(1) \equiv (fh)(1) \pmod{n}, \\ (hg)(1) &\equiv h(1)g(1) \equiv (gh)(1) \pmod{n}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

From (1) and (2), we have

$$(hf)(1) \equiv (fh)(1) \equiv (hg)(1) \equiv (gh)(1) \pmod{n}.$$

Hence $hf \delta hg$ and $fh \delta gh$. This shows that δ is a congruence on $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$. \square

Theorem 2.4. *If $\varphi : \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)/\delta \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n$ is defined by*

$$\varphi(f\delta) = \overline{f(1)} \quad \text{for all } f \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n),$$

then φ is an isomorphism from $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)/\delta$ onto (\mathbb{Z}_n, \cdot) .

Proof. To show that φ is well-defined, let $f, g \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$ be such that $f\delta = g\delta$, that is, $f \delta g$. Then $f(1) \equiv g(1) \pmod{n}$. Hence $\overline{f(1)} = \overline{g(1)}$.

If $f, g \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$ are such that $\overline{f(1)} = \overline{g(1)}$, then $f(1) \equiv g(1) \pmod{n}$. Thus $f \delta g$, so $f\delta = g\delta$. Hence φ is 1-1. For $f, g \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi((f\delta)(g\delta)) &= \varphi((fg)\delta) \\ &= \overline{(fg)(1)} \\ &= \overline{f(1)g(1)} \quad \text{from Corollary 2.2} \\ &= \overline{f(1)} \overline{g(1)} \\ &= \varphi(f\delta)\varphi(g\delta). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore φ is a semigroup homomorphism. If $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, by Theorem 1.2(i), there is $f \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$ such that $f(1) = k$. Thus $\varphi(f\delta) = \overline{f(1)} = \bar{k}$. This shows that φ is onto.

This shows that φ is an isomorphism from $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)/\delta$ onto (\mathbb{Z}_n, \cdot) , as desired. \square

Remark 2.5. For $f \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$, by the definition of δ ,

$$f\delta = \{g \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n) \mid g(1) \equiv f(1) \pmod{n}\}.$$

Thus

$$f\delta = \{g \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n) \mid g(1) \in f(1) + n\mathbb{Z}\}.$$

From this fact and Theorem 1.2, the following results are clearly seen.

- (1) The cardinality of each δ -class is 2^{\aleph_0} , that is, for each $f \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$, $|\{g \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n) \mid g \in f\delta\}| = 2^{\aleph_0}$.
- (2) For each $f \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$, $\{g(1) \mid g \in f\delta\} = f(1) + n\mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 2.6. A semigroup S is called *regular* if for every $x \in S$, $x = xyx$ for some $y \in S$. Ehrlich [2] has shown that (\mathbb{Z}_n, \cdot) is a regular semigroup if and only if n is square-free. From this fact, Theorem 2.4 and Remark 2.5, we deduce that the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) For every $f \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$, there is an element $g \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$ such that $f\delta = (fgf)\delta$.
- (ii) For every $f \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$, there is an element $g \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$ such that $f(1) \equiv f(1)^2g(1) \pmod{n}$.
- (iii) For every $f \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$, there is an element $g \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_n)$ such that $f(1)^2g(1) \in f(1) + n\mathbb{Z}$.
- (iv) n is square-free.

For example, $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_6)/\delta$ is a regular semigroup but $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \circ_4)/\delta$ is not.

References

- [1] P. Corsini, "Prolegomena of Hypergroup Theory", Aviani Editore, Udine (1993).
- [2] G. Ehrlich, *Unit-regular rings*, Portugaliae Mathematica, **27** (1968), 209-212.
- [3] W. Mora, W. Hemakul and Y. Kemprasit, *On homomorphisms of certain hypergroups*, East-West J., Spec. Issue for ICDMA 2008 (2008), 137-144.

- [4] S. Nenthein and P. Lertwichitsilp, *Surjective multihomomorphisms between cyclic groups*, Thai J. Math., **4**(1) (2006), 35-42.
- [5] W. Teparos and Y. Kemprasit, *Regularity of semigroups of multihomomorphisms of $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +)$* , Thai J. Math., Spec. Issue for Annual Meetings in Math. (2006), 25-30.
- [6] N. Triphop, A. Harnchoowong and Y. Kemprasit, *Multihomomorphisms between cyclic groups*, Set-valued Math. and Appl., **1**(1) (2007), 9-18.
- [7] P. Youngkhong and K. Savettaseranee, *Multihomomorphisms from groups into groups of real numbers*, Thai J. Math., **4**(1) (2006), 43-48.