East-West J. of Mathematics: Vol. 10, No 2 (2008) pp. 101-110

ON GENERALIZED PP-RINGS

Dinh Duc Tai* and **Le Van Thuyet**†

**Department of Mathematics, Ohio University*
Athens, Ohio 45701, USA
e-mail: dt259708@ohio.edu

† *Department of Mathematics*
Hue University, Hue, Vietnam
e-mail: lvthuyethue@gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper, we characterize generalized PP-rings and their generalizations via P-injectivity, AP-injectivity or AGP-injectivity.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, R is an associative ring with identity $1 \neq 0$ and all modules are unitary modules. We write M_R (resp. ${}_RM$) to indicate that M is a right (resp. left) R-module. The category of right (resp. left) R-module is denoted by Mod-R (resp. R-Mod).

Let M be a right R-module, we denote the injective hull of M by $E(M)$. The notation $A \leq M$ (resp. $A < M$) stands for the fact that A is a submodule (resp. a proper submodule) of M. The right and left annihilators of a subset X of a ring R are denoted by $r(X)$ and $l(X)$, respectively.

Let M be an R-module and I a right ideal of R, and let f be an R-homomorphism of I to M. Consider the following diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & I \arrow[r, "\iota"] \arrow[d, "f"'] & R \arrow[dl, dotted, "\exists\, h"] \\ & M & \end{tikzcd}$$

Key words: P-ring, PP-ring, generalized PP-ring, GP-injective module, AP-injective module, AGP-module.
AMS (2000) Mathematics Subject Classifications: 16D50, 16D70, 16D80

If there exists $h \in Hom_R(R, M)$ for every principal right ideal I in R and any $f \in Hom_R(I, M)$, then we say that M is *principally injective*, or *P-injective* for short; or equivalently, f is the left multiplication by some element $m \in M$ with I. This is equivalent to saying that $l_M r_R(a) = Ma$ for all $a \in R$, where l and r are the left and right annihilators, respectively. If a ring R is P-injective as a right R-module, then R is called a right P-injective ring.

A ring is called a right PP-ring if all principal right ideals are projective.

For basic concepts and results that are not defined here we refer to the texts: Anderson and Fuller [1], Faith [3] and Wisbauer [11].

As is known, a ring R is right PP if and only if every factor module of an P-injective module is P-injective and if and only if every factor module of an injective module is P-injective. In this note, we will characterize certain classes of rings that are generalizations of PP-rings.

2. Hereditary, Semihereditary and PP-Rings

A right module M_R is called F-injective (FP-injective, resp.) if for any finitely generated right ideal K of R ($R^{(\mathbb{N})}$, resp.), any right R-homomorphism $g : K \to M$ can be extended to $R \to M$ ($R^{(\mathbb{N})} \to M$, resp.). It follows that FP-injectivity implies F-injectivity. A ring R is called right hereditary (semihereditary, resp.) if every (finitely generated, resp.) right ideal of R is projective. The following results give us some characterizations of a semihereditary or a hereditary ring via FP-, F- or injectivity.

In this section we mention some well-known results of the characterization of hereditary rings, semihereditary rings and PP-rings. In the next section we follow this line to characterize some rings that are generalizations of right PP-rings.

Theorm 2.1. *The following conditions are equivalent for a ring R.*

(i) R is a right semihereditary ring.

(ii) Every factor module of an FP-injective right R-module is FP-injective.

(iii) Every factor module of an injective envelope $E(R_R)$ is FP-injective.

Theorem 2.2. *For a ring R the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) R is a right hereditary ring.

(ii) Every factor module of an injective right R-module is injective.

Theorem 2.3. *For a ring R the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) R is a right PP-ring.

(ii) Every factor module of an P-injective right R-module is P-injective.

(iii) Every factor module of an injective right R-module is P-injective.

For the proofs of these theorems see, for example, [11, 39.13, 39.16] and [11, Exercise 4(i), p. 340], respectively.

Moreover, the following result from [4] is useful in our study of PP-rings.

Theorem 2.4. *For a ring R the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) R is a right PP-ring.

(ii) For each element $a \in R$ and for the homomorphism $\varphi : R \longrightarrow aR$ defined by $\varphi(r) = ar$ splits, i.e., Ker φ is a direct summand of R.

(iii) The right annihilator of each element of R is generated by an idempotent.

3. Generalized PP-Rings

A ring R is called *generalized* right PP if for any $0 \neq x \in R$ and for some positive n, depending on x, the right nonzero ideal $x^n R$ is projective.

Lemma 3.1. *For a ring R the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) R is a generalized right PP-ring.

(ii) For each element $x \in R$, the right annihilator of non-zero element x^n is generated by an idempotent for some positive n, depending on x.

Proof: Straightforward.

Following [5] and [7] a right R-module M is called GP-injective (= YJ-injective in [6] or in [12]) if for every $0 \neq a \in R$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $a^n \neq 0$ and every right R-homomorphism $a^n R \longrightarrow M$ extends to $R \longrightarrow M$.

Proposition 3.2. *For a right R-module M the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) M is GP-injective.

(ii) For each element $0 \neq a \in R$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $a^n \neq 0$, $l_M(r_R(a^n)) = Ma^n$.

Proof. By Lemma 1.3 of [9].

A ring R is called right GP-injective if the right R-module R_R is GP-injective, or equivalently if for every $0 \neq a \in R$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $a^n \neq 0$ and $lr(a^n) = Ra^n$. The ring R in the following example was essentially given by Clark [2] proved that the GP-injectivity is a proper generalization of the P-injectivity.

Example 3.3. Let $\mathbb{Z}_2$ be the field of integers modulo 2 and A be the subring of $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mathbb{N}}$ consisting of elements of the form

$$\{(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n, a, a, ...)| \;\; a_1, a_2, ..., a_n, a \in \mathbb{Z}_2\}.$$

Let

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_2 & \mathbb{Z}_2 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}$$

then R is right GP-injective but not P-injective. $\square$

Characterizations of some classes of rings via GP-injectivity have been studied by many authors (e.g, [5], [6], [7], [12], ...). It is known that if R is a von Neumann regular ring, then every right (left) R-module is GP-injective ([7]). Wongwai [10, Theorem 2.6] prove Theorem 2.3 in the module case. We now obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.4. *For a ring R the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) R is a generalized right PP-ring.

(ii) Every factor module of an P-injective right R-module is GP-injective.

(iii) Every factor module of an injective right R-module is GP-injective.

Proof. (i) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii). Let R be a generalized right PP-ring and N_R be an P-injective module. For every $X \leq N$,we will prove that N/X is also an GP - injective module. For every $0 \neq b \in R$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $b^n \neq 0$ and b^nR is projective and then for any R-homomorphism $\varphi : b^nR \longrightarrow N/X$, there exists an R-homomorphism $\varphi' : b^nR \longrightarrow N$ such that $\eta_X\varphi' = \varphi$, i.e. the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & b^nR \arrow[r] \arrow[dl, "\varphi'"'] \arrow[d, "\varphi"] & R \arrow[dl, "\widehat{\varphi}"] \\
N \arrow[r, "\eta_X"] & N/X \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which $\eta_X : N \longrightarrow N/X$ is the natural epimorphism. Since N is P-injective, φ' can be extended to $\widehat{\varphi}' : R \to N$ and then φ can be extended to $\widehat{\varphi} = \eta_X \widehat{\varphi}'$. So N/X is GP - injective.

(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii) is clear.

(iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i). For every $0 \neq x \in R$, we consider the epimorphism $h : A \to B$, in which A, B are any right R-modules. By (iii), $E(A)/Ker(h)$ is GP-injective, so there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x^n \neq 0$ and every R-homomorphism of x^nR to $E(A)/Ker(h)$ extends to R.

Since $B \cong A/Ker(h) \leq E(A)/Ker(h)$,

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \overline{\alpha} : & x^nR & \to & E(A)/Ker(h) \\ & a & \longmapsto & \overline{\alpha}(a) = \alpha(a) \end{array}$$

is an R-homomorphism and can be extended to $\widehat{\alpha} : R \to E(A)/Ker(h)$.

Since R_R is projective, there exists an R-homomorphism $g : R \longrightarrow E(A)$ such that $pg = \widehat{\alpha}$, i.e., the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& x^nR \arrow[r] \arrow[dl, "\varphi"'] \arrow[d, "\alpha"] & R \\
A \arrow[r, "h"] \arrow[d] & B \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "j"] & 0 \\
E(R) \arrow[r, "p"] & E(A)/Ker(h) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which $p : E(A) \longrightarrow E(A)/Ker(h)$ is the natural epimorphism and $p|_A = h$.

It is easy to see that $g(x^nR) \leq A$, so there exists an R-homomorphism $\varphi : x^nR \longrightarrow A$ such that $\varphi = g|_{x^nR}$. Since $pg = \widehat{\alpha}$, it follows that $pg|_{x^nR} = \widehat{\alpha}|_{x^nR}$, i.e., $h\varphi = \alpha$. Hence x^nR is projective, proving (i). $\square$

4. Rings with condition (*)

Condition (*). A ring R is said to satisfy the condition (*) if in R every principal right ideal is a direct sum of a projective right ideal and a right ideal not containing a nonzero projective right ideal. It is clear that PP-ring implies condition (*).

A module M is said to be almost principally injective (or AP-injective for short) if, for any $a \in R$, there exists a S-submodule X of M such that $l_M r_R(a) = Ma \oplus X$, as a direct sum of $End_R(M)$-modules.

A ring R is called right AP-injective if R_R is AP-injective ([9]).

Lemma 4.1. ([9], Lemma 1.2) *Let M_R be a module, $S = End(M)$, and $a \in R$.*

(i) If $l_M(r_R(a)) = Ma \oplus X$ for some $X \subseteq M$ as a left S-module, then we have $Hom_R(aR, M) = Hom_R(R, M) \oplus \Gamma$ as a left S-module, where $\Gamma = \{f \in Hom_R(aR, M) : f(a) \in X\}$.

(ii) If $Hom_R(aR, M) = Hom_R(R, M) \oplus \Gamma$ as a left S-module, then $l_M(r_R(a)) = Ma \oplus X$ as a left S-module, where $X = \{f(a) : f \in \Gamma\}$.

(iii) Ma is a summand of $l_M(r_R(a))$ as a left S-module iff $Hom_R(R, M)$ is a summand of $Hom_R(aR, M)$ as a left S-module. $\square$

We obtain the following result that gives us the characterization of a ring satisfying (*) via AP-injective modules.

Theorem 4.2. *For a ring R the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) R satisfies (*).

(ii) Every factor module of an AP-injective right R-module is AP-injective.

(iii) Every factor module of an P-injective right R-module is AP-injective.

(iv) Every factor module of an injective right R-module is AP-injective.

Proof. (i) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii). Assume (i). Let M_R be an AP-injective module, $N \leq M$ and $a \in R$. Then $l_M(r_R(a)) = Ma \oplus X$ for some $X \subseteq M$ as left S-module. By Lemma 4.1, we have $\mathrm{Hom}_R(aR, M) = \mathrm{Hom}_R(R, M) \oplus \Gamma$ as left S-module, where $\Gamma = \{f \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(aR, M) : f(a) \in X\}$. We will prove that $\mathrm{Hom}_R(aR, M/N) = \mathrm{Hom}_R(R, M/N) \oplus \Gamma'$ as left S-module. In fact, since $aR = P \oplus Y$ where P is projective and some $Y \leq aR$, $aR/Y \overset{\beta}{\simeq} P$. Let $f : aR \longrightarrow M/N$ be an R-homomorphism, there exists an R-homomorphism $\theta : aR/Y \longrightarrow M$ such that $\eta_N \theta = fj\beta$ where $j : P \longrightarrow aR$ is the inclusion and $\eta_N : M \longrightarrow M/N$ is the natural epimorphism.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & P \arrow[d, "j"] & \\
aR/Y \arrow[ur, "\beta"] \arrow[d, "\theta"'] & aR \arrow[d, "f"] \arrow[dl, "\theta\eta_Y"] & \\
M \arrow[r, "\eta_N"'] & M/N \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Take $\theta' = \theta\eta_Y$ where $\eta_Y : aR \longrightarrow aR/Y$ is the natural epimorphism. Then $\theta' = \theta_1 \oplus \gamma$ where $\theta_1 \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(R, M)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. From this $\eta_N\theta_1 \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(R, M/N)$ and $\eta_N\gamma \in \Gamma'$.

(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii) and (iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iv) are clear.

(iv) $\Longrightarrow$ (i). Assume (iv). For every $a \in R$, we take I the sum of all right ideal of aR not containing a nonzero projective right ideal. We prove that aR/I is projective. Let $h : A \to B$ be any R-epimorphism, in which A, B are any right R-modules and $\alpha : aR/I \longrightarrow B$ be any R-homomorphisms.

Since $B \cong A/Ker(h) \overset{j}{\hookrightarrow} E(A)/Ker(h)$,

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \alpha' : & aR/I & \rightarrow & E(A)/Ker(h) \\ & a & \longmapsto & \alpha'(a) = \alpha(a) \end{array}$$

is an R-homomorphism. We set $\overline{\alpha} = \alpha'\eta_I = j\alpha\eta_I$, in which η_I is the natural epimorphism. By (iv), $E(A)/Ker(h)$ is AP-injective, so there exist $f_1, f_2 \in Hom_R(aR, E(A)/Ker(h))$ such that f_1 can be extended to $\widehat{f_1} : R \to E(A)/Ker(h)$.

Since R_R is projective, there exists an R-homomorphism $g : R \longrightarrow E(A)$ such that $pg = \widehat{f_1}$, i.e., the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & aR \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\eta_I"] \arrow[ddl, "\varphi'"'] & R \\
& aR/I \arrow[d, "\alpha"] \arrow[dl, "\varphi"] & \\
A \arrow[r, "h"'] \arrow[d] & B \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "j"] & 0 \\
E(A) \arrow[r, "p"'] & E(A)/Kerh \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which $p : E(A) \longrightarrow E(A)/Ker(h)$ is the natural epimorphism and $p|_A = h$.

It is easy to see that $g(aR) \le A$, so there exists an R-homomorphism $\varphi' : aR \longrightarrow A$ such that $\varphi' = g|_{aR}$.

Now we prove that $I \le Ker(\varphi')$. In fact, for any $i \in I, j\alpha\eta_I(i) = 0 = f_1(i) + f_2(i)$ and then $f_1(i) = -f_2(i)$. By Lemma 4.1,

$$l_{E(A)/Ker(h)}(r_R(i)) = (E(A)/Ker(h))i \oplus X$$

as left S-module, where $X \le E(A)/Ker(h)$. So $f_2(i) = f_1(i) = 0$, i.e., $i \in Ker(f_1) \cap aR = Ker(\varphi')$. Then there exists $\varphi : aR/I \longrightarrow A$ such that $\varphi\eta_I = \varphi'$.

Since $pg = \widehat{f_1}$, it follows that $pg|_{aR} = \widehat{f_1}|_{aR}$, i.e., $h\varphi' = \alpha\eta_I$. It follows that $h\varphi\eta_I = \alpha\eta_I$ and since η_I is epimorphism, $h\varphi = \alpha$. Hence aR/I is projective. Set $P = aR/I$ we have $aR \cong P \oplus I$, proving (i). $\square$

5. Rings with condition (**)

Condition (**). A ring R in which for every $0 \neq a \in R$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a^n \neq 0$ and $a^n R$ is a direct sum of a projective right ideal and a right ideal not containing a nonzero projective right ideal. It is clear that "generalized PP-ring" implies condition (**).

A module M is said to be almost general principally injective ([9]) (or AGP-injective for short) if, for any $0 \neq a \in R$, there exist a positive integer $n = n(a)$ and an S-submodule X of M such that $a^n \neq 0$ and $l_M r_R(a^n) = Ma^n \oplus X$ as a direct sum of $End_R(M)$-modules.

A ring R is called right AGP-injective if R_R is AGP-injective.

The following result provides a characterization of rings satisfying (**) via AGP-injective modules.

Theorem 5.1. *For a ring R the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) R satisfies (**).

(ii) Every factor module of a AP-injective right R-module is AGP-injective.

(iii) Every factor module of a P-injective right R-module is AGP-injective.

(iv) Every factor module of an injective right R-module is AGP-injective.

Proof. By the same argument of proving Theorem 3.3 and 4.2. □

6. Remarks

6.1. In [4], a ring R is called a generalized right PP-ring if for any $x \in R$, the right ideal $x^n R$ is projective for some positive integer n, depending on x, or equivalently, if for any $x \in R$, the right annihilator of x^n is generated by an idempotent for some positive integer n, depending on x. They gave an example of a generalized PP non PP-ring as follows. Let $\mathbb{Z}_2$ be the field of integers modulo 2, and

$$R = \{a_0 + a_1 i + a_2 j + a_3 k | a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \text{ for } i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$$

be the Hamilton quaternions over $\mathbb{Z}_2$.

Let $R_{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the ring of quaternions over $\mathbb{Z}$, where $\mathbb{Z}$ is the ring of integers and $I = \{a_0 + a_1 i + a_2 j + a_3 k | a_i \in 2\mathbb{Z}, i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be the ideal of $R_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Then

$$R \simeq R_{\mathbb{Z}}/I.$$

Note that by the definition of [4], if a ring R is generalized PP, we only need to find a positive integer n such that $x^n R$ is projective for each non-nilpotent $x \in R$. Therefore, the defenition of a generalized PP-ring in this paper is different from that in [4]. We have the following implications:

$$PP-rings \implies generalized\ PP-rings\ (in\ this\ paper) \implies$$

$$generalized\ PP-rings\ in\ the\ sense\ of\ [4]$$

but the converses are not true, in general.

Since $(a_0+a_1i+a_2j+a_3k)^2 = a_0^2-a_1^2-a_2^2-a_3^2 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ with $a_0+a_1i+a_2j+a_3k \in R$, so $(a_0+a_1i+a_2j+a_3k)^2R = 0$ or R, i.e., R is generalized PP in the sense of [4]. But R is not a generalized PP-ring in the sense of this paper. In fact, all idempotents in R are 0 and 1 and $(1+i)^2 = 0$, so $1+i \in r_R(1+i)$ and $1 \notin r_R(1+i)$ show that $r_R(1+i)$ cannot be generated by an idempotent in R. It is clear that R is not a PP-ring by our definition. □

6.2. Many examples of a right AP-injective ring which is not right GP-injective were given by [9]. For example, let $R = \mathbb{Z}_4 \propto (\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4)$ be the trivial extension of $\mathbb{Z}_4$ by the $\mathbb{Z}_4$-module $\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$. Let $a = (\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{0})$. Then $a^2 = 0$ and $lr(a) = 0 \propto (\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4) \neq 0 \propto (\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus 0) = Ra$. Therefore, R is not GP-injective. □

Acknowledgements. This paper was written during a visit of the second author at the Department of Mathematics, Ohio University, in Spring 2008. He would like to thank them for the support and warm hospitality. The authors wish to express their thanks to Professor Dinh Van Huynh for reading this note carefully and for many useful suggestions and comments.

References

[1] F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, "Rings and categories of modules", Springer - Verlag, New York, 1974.

[2] J. Clark, *A note on rings with projective socles,* C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada 18 (1996), 85 - 87.

[3] C. Faith, "Algebra I&II", Springer-Velarg, New York, 1973/1976.

[4] C. Huh, H. K. Kim and Y. Lee, *P.P. rings and generalized P.P. rings,* J. of Pure and App. Algebra **167** (2002), 37 - 52.

[5] N. K. KIM, S. B. Nam and J. Y. Kim, *On simple singular GP-injective modules,* Comm. in Algebra, **27** (5) (1999), 2087 - 2096.

[6] R. Y. C. Ming, *A note on YJ-injectivity,* Demonstratio Math. 30 (1997), 551 - 556.

[7] S. B. Nam, N. K. Kim and J. Y. Kim, *On simple GP-injective modules,* Comm. in Algebra, 23 (14) (1995), 5437 - 5444.

[8] W.K. Nicholson and M.F. Yousif, *Principally injective rings,* J. Algebra 174 (1995), 77 - 93.

[9] S. S. Page and Y. Zhou, *Generalizations of Principally Injective Rings,* J. of Algebra **206** (1998), 706 - 721.

[10] S. Wongwai, *On the endomorphism ring of a semi-injective module,* Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae, Vol. LXXI, 1(2002), 27 - 33.

[11] R. Wisbauer, "Foundations of module and ring theory", Gordon and Breach, 1991.

[12] W. Xue, A note on YJ-injectivity, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma **6**(1) (1998), 31-37.